

| | | | |
|---|------------------------|---------------------|--|
| Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary | Application No. | Applicant(s) | |
| | 09/310,638 | HERMONA SOREQ ET AL | |
| | Examiner | Art Unit | |
| | Deborah Crouch, Ph.D. | 1632 | |

All Participants:

(1) Deborah Crouch, Ph.D.

(2) John White.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 2 March 2005

Time: 10:30 AM

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☒ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: *fax of proposed claims, 2/25/05.*

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

11-13, 17, 20, 23, 24, 26 and 27

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

. Mr. White accepted the suggested claim amendments and noted an error in claim 23. Mr. White agreed to an examiner's amendmnet to promote allowance.

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)